ADELAIDE INSTITUTE

PO Box 3300 Adelaide 5067 Australia Mob: 61+401692057

Mob: 61+401692057
Email: info@adelaideinstitute.org

Web: http://www.adelaideinstitute.org

Online ISSN 1440-9828



August 2011 No 584

Authorities seek to erase memory of Rudolf Hess with 'disappearance' of his remains By SIOBHAN DOWLING, The Guardian, London Thursday, 21 July 2011



BERLIN — For the past two decades, every 17 August has seen the small Bavarian town of Wunsiedel become overwhelmed by neo-Nazi pilgrims. The far-right gathers to commemorate the death of Rudolf Hess, the [National Socialist] deputy to Adolf Hitler, who was buried in the town cemetery.

Now officials in Wunsiedel are hoping they have come up with a way of keeping the rightwing hordes away. In the early hours of Wednesday morning, Hess's remains were exhumed and the gravestone — which read "Ich hab's gewagt" or "I have dared" — has been destroyed.

With the agreement of his family members, his remains were then to be cremated and his ashes scattered at sea. The opportunity to remove the grave came when Hess's granddaughter applied for a 20-year extension of the grave's lease, which was due to expire in October. "We decided not to extend the lease because of all the unrest and disturbances," said Peter Seisser, the chairman of the parish council.

COLLUSION WITH CHURCH PASTOR

Although some relatives initially objected to the exhumation, negotiations between the church's pastor and Hess's granddaughter resulted in the agreement to remove the remains from the town. The far-right has long considered Hess to be a "martyr to the Fatherland" and they rallied in Wunsiedel for their first march in his honor in August 1988.

One of Hitler's closest aides, Hess was captured after flying to Scotland in 1941 in a failed attempt to convince Great Britain to negotiate a peace agreement with Nazi Germany.

He was convicted of war crimes at the Nuremberg trials and sentenced to life imprisonment. Hess was the sole inmate in

Spandau prison in the British-occupied part of West Berlin when he [allegedly] killed himself* on 17 August 1987 at the age of 93.

Wunsiedel's Protestant parish council reluctantly agreed at the time to honor his final wishes to be buried with his parents in the cemetery. However, local people became increasingly annoyed with the town's status as a Nazi pilgrimage site. In 2004, the mayor, Karl-Will Beck, launched the "Wunsiedel is colorful and not brown" campaign and, together with town councilors, church officials and citizens, he tried to block the neo-Nazis from gathering at the grave.

'INCITEMENT TO HATRED'

The German parliament passed an amendment in 2005 to the existing legislation on incitement to hatred, specifically to prevent such gatherings. Otto Schily, Germany's interior minister at the time, said it was done "in solidarity with the democratic public of Wunsiedel".

However, this failed to solve the problem and the neo-Nazis kept coming. "The Hess marches may have been forbidden but groups like the far-right National Democratic party could still hold demonstrations on other issues," Seisser told the Guardian. He hopes the removal of the remains will put an end to the annual invasion. "At least the pilgrimage site for the radical right has been removed," he said. "The grave no longer exists."

http://www.guardian.co.uk/world/2011/jul/21/rudolf-hess-body-removed-nazi

* He was murdered by a special British MI5 team, with the collusion of the Americans and French, to prevent him from revealing details of his peace mission.

Fredrick Töben Reports For the Record, Adelaide 23 July 2011

*

The Dr Christian Lindtner Saga – Scientific Research objectified How a 2006 Iranian Holocaust Committee Member became a Holocaust Believer

A. Introduction

On Tuesday July 12, 2011 12:20PM when Roman Catholic Bishop, Richard Williamson, made news again I sent the following Press-TV item through my email list:

British Holocaust denier verdict upheld

A German appeals court has upheld the guilty verdict of a British bishop who had been earlier convicted on charges of denying the Holocaust.

The Regensburg court on Monday confirmed a conviction issued against Richard Williamson last year for Holocaust denial, but decided to reduce his penalty from the original fine of EUR 10,000 to EUR 6,500 (USD 9,136), the Associated Press reported.

The 71-year-old bishop had stated in a 2008 interview with a Swedish TV station that he did not believe Jews lost their lives in gas chambers in the Nazi concentration camps during the Second World War.

Williamson's lawyers have not denied the statements made by him during the interview, but stressed that such views could be expressed freely in Sweden.

Holocaust denial is considered a crime in Germany.

In a similar case, Fredrick Toben, a graduate of Oxford's Exeter College (- sic, only 1974 Summer School) and a German-born Australian author, was tried in Germany in 1999 for his revisionist views of the Holocaust and sentenced to seven months in prison. MAB/HJL

http://www.presstv.ir/detail/188758.html

Related Stories:

Polish Holocaust denier found dead

UK Muslims viewing Gaza war as real Holocaust

<u>Israeli lies ... 9/11 and the Holocaust</u>

Iran: Holocaust excuse for genocide

The French Government's hypocrisy, Islam and Holocaust revisionism (2)

<u>Holocaust revisionists and the hypocrisy of the French</u> government

Holocaust denier verdict approved

*

On Monday, 18 July 2011 I receive from H- at 7:33 PM the following email:

Subject: Gordon Duff

Did you hear this? Gordon Duff says at approx 3.35: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=T4179ES2yLg

"Rupert Murdoch spent personally \$10 Million to elect a Governor in the State of Ohio whose policies are reprehensible - we would equal them to Nazi Germany"

I viewed the clip and added my comment to the other comments already made on the clip:

>>If the tyrant's head is chopped off, then what have we left? A culture of slaves who will seek another tyrant for their comforts.

Subject: Let's get over Hitler and Jews

Currently the characteristics of a slave are thus: 'A slave is one who can speak neither well of Hitler nor ill of Jewry.'<<
Then on 7/18/2011 6:36:22 A.M. Eastern Daylight Time I send Gordon Duff the following message:

'Gordon - will you let yourself be upset with my comment on your site? Fredrick.'

*

On Monday, 18 July 2011 10:40 PM I receive a reply from Gpduf@aol.com:

'I am aware that our policies here in Ohio are not like Nazi Germany but one has to use language that people understand.'

My response:

Sent: Tuesday, 19 July 2011 10:46 AM

To: Gpduf@aol.com

Cc: 'Michael'; 'Josef Schwanzer'

Subject: Let's get over Hitler and Jews

1. But Gordon, you are then perpetuating a myth that contains no new impulses to better a situation, locally or globally, because that myth you are perpetuating is full of lies. Why don't you grasp the nettle and in truly anticipatory-pioneering fashion open a dialogue with those who claim that

"A slave is one who can speak neither well of Hitler nor ill of Jewry."<<

- **2.** We need to get away from this Marxist-Talmudic death dialectic and move into a Hegelian dialogue dialectic wher e guilt-by-association as a principle of research is rejected outright. I tend to regard an individual who still claims that Hitler/Nazis are the absolute evil as someone who is out of balance as regards the understanding of human nature and of the historical process as such.
- **3.** That is why individuals who can't get over Hitler/Nazis display a deeply authoritarian mindset and fear free expression from which they then 'protect' themselves by developing a range of guilt-by-association strategies. We see this so clearly in the global warming/Holocaust debate that is pushed mainly by ex-lefties who still frantically wish to retain mind control of individuals who refuse to think globally but prefer to think nationally.
- **4.** Among ordinary individuals I hear the cry for freedom from global economic exploitation and oppression and towards some form of community-local-regional/state nation building where the command is upwards from the people and not downwards to the people.
- **5.** This cry from the people upwards may contain elements of what Germany did during the 12 years

under Adolf Hitler and the National Socialists - NAZI – but that era/period cannot be replicated/duplicated in today's world, and in any case it was specifically German – and can you imagine how the world's population would react if it had imposed on it by force such values as truth, order, beauty, cleanliness, honesty, transparent actions, creativity instead of change for change's sake, justice instead of vicious financial exploitation, and others?

- **6.** Currently in Australia the issue of animal slaughter is a burning issue because our trade with Indonesia reveals that our cattle are brutally slaughtered, and now we demand slaughter houses stun the animal before slitting their throats. This issue has focused on local practices and Muslim groups do not oppose the stunning of animals but Jews do. The claim that kosher slaughter is a religious right is now developing into an issue I can already hear the cries of 'antisemitism' and 'racism' and 'Nazi' that attempt to stifle debate on this contentious issue.
- 7. And then we just have to recall that Adolf Hitler and the National Socialists on 21 April 1933 enacted a law that banned kosher slaughter, thereby attacking a prime pillar of the Jewish religion. The Holocaust-Shoah mythology, of course, is now there to deflect from this 1933 German humane act of opposition to kosher slaughter. The reasoning is that Germans exterminated the Jews so what gives them the right to say anything about anything at all! Well, as you know Gordon through your ethnic background this Holocaust-Shoah Hitler/Jew dialectic is a process full of exaggerations, distortions, fabrications, and outright lying that prevents us from gaining new insights into current and historical happenings in the world.
- **8.** Upon reflection this is why I consider my meeting you at your home was valuable because I see you as someone who embodies these principles as well, of course, the principle of AUTARKY from which the self emerges as an empathetic and fearless mature individual where the concept of 'change' has no home because individuals don't change but develop and mature hopefully.

- **9.** As to your claim that Murdoch is Jewish it's irrelevant to me because I still claim that 'don't blame the Jews, blame those that bend to their pressure'. Thus what is far more important is that the whole controversy in London has led to the resignation of two policemen who were intimately involved in counterterrorism operations, and by implication directly involved in the 7:7 2005 London bombing exercise. This follows closely on the US event that saw the writing out of their terrorism script the protagonist, Osama bin laden, by spreading the word that he was killed – again utter nonsense. In other words, in British politics there is a re-adjustment taking place in the usual dramatic and practical sense that also continues to keep the real impulses behind the scene protected from public scrutiny. There is even another death to hand – and Dr Kelley's death comes to mind when the WMD story had served its purpose and was finally exposed as a lie.
- **10.** I'm sending a copy of this email to Santomauro and Schwanzer so that they can also spread my thoughts expressed therein, and in the hope that some commentary will be made on it.

Cheers

Fredrick Töben

From J- I also receive the following with the Subject: That's for you Zundel vs Zionist - Truth

vs Lies! http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ub53bWPvzBs and respond thus:

Subject: RE: FW: That's for you -

Germans and German Jews set up Israel's civil service - there was a German brain drain to Israel - Hitler father of Israel - Yes, thanks J-, it's old stuff for me - but excellent to watch for those who are just getting into it - and to think Zündel then left Canada for the USA where he married Ingrid Rimland, then was extradited back to Canada for two years prison, then off to Germany where he spent 5 years in a German prison. That's legal persecution.

Fredrick

B. The Dr Christian Lindter Saga begins

Teheran Holocaust Committee Member Christian Lindtner claims: 'Holocaust denial is a moral and intellectual aberration. ... Denial is Chutzpah'.

From: Christian Lindtner lindtner@dr.com
Sent: Wednesday, 20 July 2011 8:49 PM

To: Fredrick Toben

Subject: RE: FW: That's for you - Germans and German Jews set up Israel's civil service - there was a German brain drain to Israel - Hitler father of Israel -

Dear Fredrick,

Yes, this is a very sad story.

I hope you will find the time to study the two huge books about the German Ordnungspolizei by Wolfgang Curilla (2006 & 2011). His admirable research is based on original German sources.

It can no longer be denied that more that 4.000.000 Jews were murdered by various units of German police etc.

Hence, the time has come to face the fact that Holocaust revisionism, or denial", is simply irresponsible CHUTZPAH.

Cui bono? Best wishes Christian Lindtner

From: Fredrick Toben Sent: 07/20/11 02:43 PM To: 'Christian Lindtner'

Subject: RE: FW: That's for you - Germans and German Jews set up Israel's civil service - there was a German brain drain to Israel - Hitler father of Israel -

Are you serious, Christian? Fredrick

From: Christian Lindtner

Sent: Thursday, 21 July 2011 3:18 AM

To: Fredrick Toben

Subject: RE: FW: That's for you - Germans and German Jews set up Israel's civil service - there was a German brain drain to Israel - Hitler father of Israel -

Yes, Fredrick, I have now studied these and other books by the best German scholars for several years, and of course, I am certain that one can rely on their research. As a classical philologist, I recognize traditional German scholarship when it is at its best.

To claim that Leichenkeller 1 in Krema II was not a gas chamber is also a case of Chutzpah. As you are aware, Bischoff wrote to Kammler 29 Jan. 1943 that it was a Vergasungskeller, and the two engineers from Topf & Söhne (Karl Schultze and Fritz Sander, 17 Feb. 1943) called it a Gaskeller.

Irving, Butz, Faurisson have done what they could to argue that the Germans, who were there and had no reason to lie to one another, were wrong, even dishonest. Their suggestions about the Keller being for gassing cadavers (!) or about its being an air raid shelter are absolutely silly.

The lacking holes can also easily be explained: When Leichenkeller 1 was blown up, the holes, i.e. the edges of the holes, would have been the first to be blown away by the enormous pressure seeking to "escape".

Gassing here and in the other camps was simply a natural continuation of the euthanasia program, where, for sure, more than 70000 were gassed.

The evidence for gas vans is also convincingly established.

It is also chutzpah to say:" No holes, no Holocaust "- as if the shooting of millions of Jews had nothing to do with the Endlösung!

So, for these and many other reasons, Fredrick, it is now my firm conviction that Holocaust denial is a moral and intellectual aberration. It is a very very sad story - I do not need to remind YOU!

My claim is serious: Denial is Chutzpah.

See, if you have time, see also my presentation: Hitler's Prophecy 1-7 on youtube.

Now we understand why Himmler said to the generals in Sonthofen, 21 June 1944:"Es ist gut, dass wir die Härte hatten, die Juden in unserem Bereich auszurotten", and he goes on the say that die Weiber und Kinder were also murdered....

Himmler was honest, and we have to be honest also.

Please feel free to use this letter as you may wish.

You may want to post it on your site!

Sincerely - Christian

On 21/07/2011, at 10:59 AM, I respond:

Thank you for your quick reply, Christian.

- **1.** My immediate response to your claim is, of course, joy because now we do have substantial evidence to prove that gassings occurred and that the three pillars of the Holocaust-Shoah narrative 6 million, systematic extermination, homicidal gas chambers is a proven physical fact.
- 2. But then I smile to myself when I read that you are relying on German scholarship and that your classical training enables you to discern, and to formulate: 'Holocaust denial is a moral and intellectual aberration. ... My claim is serious: Denial is Chutzpah'.
- **3.** Christian, I don't know what has happened to your perspective, whether you spent too much time trimming your cars and if this mindset of yours constitutes a recantation of your previously held belief. But it seems to me you have forgotten that all the research you rely upon is research done under legal duress.
- **4.** It is not free research because it is illegal in Germany and elsewhere to say anything positive about the 1933-45 war years. I speak from experience, as do Stäglich, Rudolf, Zündel, Fröhlich, Honsik, Mahler, Stolz, et al, who can all attest to that pressure imposed on them with the force of legal persecution.
- **5.** Your approach reminds me of the old scholastic argument about solving the universal and particular problem how many angels fit on a pinhead?
- **6.** I am sending this item through various lists and perhaps we can hear from you again and perhaps you can enlighten me on how to overcome the legal barrier that prevents us from seeking the truth of the matter, without you so flippantly making a nonsense claim:

Denial is Chutzpah.

Cheers

Fredrick Töben.

On 21 July 2011 I receive the following link and comment from H-:

Revolt against Civilization: Knud Eriksen interviews Christian Lindtner, June 28, 2011

This interview was recorded during "Revolt against Civilization," a two-day private seminar organized by Dr. Lindtner of the Danish Society for Free Historical Research [Danish: Dansk Selskab for Fri Historisk Forskning; Web site: DSFHF.dk] and held in Aalborg, Denmark, on May 28 and 29. Please check out the event main Web page on VoR for all speeches and interviews related to this event. 13 MB / 32 kbps mono / 0 hour 56 min.



Dr Christian Lindtner



http://reasonradionetwork.com/20110628/revoltagainst-civilization-knud-eriksen-interviews-christianlindtner

H- comments:

He focuses his proof on the 2900 Reports from the Ordnungspolizei. That means each report could have meant 1 person shot.

But to get to the 6 million each with each report 2070 would have to be shot. So gassings are out and shootings are in. Let's now concentrate on confusing everyone about this for the next 60 years. Revisionists concentrate on Auschwitz and bad witnesses.

Until now I thought it was the Jews who constantly reminded us of the gassings and ovens at Auschwitz and that they endorsed the stories of their witnesses, constructed a shrine of remembrance, hundreds of movies, books and Television shows. Oops, that's right in their imagination it was true.

Now, finally, after 60+ years the real truth comes out - they have all gone mad. Also, amazingly he is not sure if deniers are suppressed, although they go to jail, lose their jobs etc.

I send another email through with a German report about the 20 July 2011 Rudolf Hess grave desecration:

Grabstätte von Hitler-Stellvertreter Heß aufgelöst



Früheres Heß-Grab in Wunsiedel: Mit Zustimmung der Erben exhumiert

München - Es war über Jahre eine Pilgerstätte für Rechtsextremisten, die Rudolf Heß als Märtyrer feiern wollten - und ein Schandfleck in den Augen vieler Anwohner: das Grab des früheren Hitler-Stellvertreters im oberfränkischen Wunsiedel. Jetzt wurde die umstrittene Grabstätte aufgelöst.

Jahrelang versammelten sich am Grab von Rudolf Heß immer wieder Rechtsextremisten. Zu seinem Todestag am 17. August gab es im fränkischen Wunsiedel zum Ärger der dortigen Gemeinde einen Gedenkmarsch mit Hunderten oder gar Tausenden Teilnehmern, die an den früheren Hitler-Stellvertreter erinnern wollten. Seit Mittwoch existiert die strittige Grabstätte nicht mehr.

*

Wie die "Süddeutsche Zeitung" berichtet, wurde das Grab in der Nacht zum Mittwoch zwischen 4 und 6 Uhr geöffnet. Die Gebeine von Heß wurden mit Zustimmung seiner Erben exhumiert. Sie sollen nun verbrannt, die Asche dann auf offener See bestattet werden. Damit dürfte das Interesse von Neonazis an Demonstrationen in Wunsiedel schwinden.



In der rechten Szene gilt Heß als Märtyrer. Er war im Nürnberger Kriegsverbrecherprozess zu lebenslanger Haft verurteilt worden und hatte sich 1987 im Berliner Gefängnis Spandau das Leben genommen. In seinem Testament hatte er den Wunsch geäußert, in Wunsiedel begraben zu werden. Heß' Eltern hatten dort ein Ferienhaus besessen und waren in dem Ort bestattet. Der Vorstand der evangelischen Kirchengemeinde hatte dem Wunsch von Heß zunächst zugestimmt. Doch wegen der häufigen Demonstrationen von

Rechtsradikalen strebten die Kirchenvertreter die Auflösung des Grabs an. Als nun der Pachtvertrag auslief, verweigerte die Kirchengemeinde eine Verlängerung.

Zunächst klagte eine Heß-Enkelin gegen diesen Schritt, doch nach Angaben des langjährigen Landrats des Kreises Wunsiedel, Peter Seißer, ließ sich die Familie schließlich überzeugen, die Auflösung des Grabs zu akzeptieren.



Der einst jährliche Rudolf-Heß-Gedenkmarsch in Wunsiedel war in den vergangenen Jahren immer wieder verboten worden. Demonstrationen von Rechtsextremisten wurden nur noch unter der Auflage zugelassen, dass Heß nicht erwähnt würde.

Can

http://www.spiegel.de/politik/deutschland/0,1518,775617,00.html

The story continues -

On Thursday, 21 July 2011 6:40 PM Christian Lindtner replies:

Cc: Glenn Pacharzina

Subject: Re: Teheran Holocaust Committe Member Christian Lindtner claims:

'Holocaust denial is a moral and intellectual aberration. ... Denial is Chutzpah'.

Thanks also for your quick reply, Fredrick! You got my motto right! Just three points for now:

- **1.** I find that you are being too sweeping when claiming that (all) German research is being done under legal duress. To uphold that claim you would have to point out significant passages or statements in the works of Krausnick/ Wilhelm and Curilla, on which I mainly rely, that prove your point. But can you do just that?
- What about Karl Jäger's report from Kaunas, Dec. 1, 1941, for instance?
- **2.** The problem of a Hitler order not on paper has been well discussed by Curilla 2006, pp. 61-85. –

On pp. 86-123 he deals with "Der Auftrag an die Einsatzgruppen". On p. 107, Curilla sums up: " Eine Gesamtbewertung dieser Dokumente spricht eher für eine Befehlsgebung sämtliche Juden in den besetzten Gebieten der Sowjetunion zu vernichten, bereits vor

dem 22.6.1941, ohne dass damit schon ein eindeutiger Beweis erbracht ist."

- **3.** The legal situation in Germany p.t. is a deplorable one, and I have expressed myself to that effect in public several times. It has had its costs.
- If I were a German judge, I would, perhaps, order deniers to be confined to a good library and study the books they should have studied before they expressed their unfounded views in public.

Unfortunately, in this as in many other fields of research, the best scholars tend to shun publicity. This, then, leaves room for those who for various reasons have chosen to ignore or distort facts little known to the public at large.

Fools rush in...

Best wishes to you, Fredrick! Christian L.

I reply at 7:20 PM thus:

Subject: RE: Teheran Holocaust Committe Member Christian Lindtner claims: 'Holocaust denial is a moral and intellectual aberration. ... Denial is Chutzpah'.

Christian, thanks for this, and let me briefly respond thereto – at a later date I shall perhaps go into more detail, but as you know I am operating under a gag order imposed on me by both Germany and Australia.

Unfortunately I have now also listened to your radio interview – and conclude therefrom that your use of the term 'denier' brings you into line with individuals who block open enquiry, and thus you fall into my category of having suffered a failure of moral and intellectual nerve, understandable, of course if one considers your situation in Denmark.

Also, note that an interpretation made from written documentation only, when physical evidence is called for, speaks for itself, i.e. it's a rationalisation supporting a certain Weltanschauung..

Your final comment trivialises the work of thoughtful researchers who refuse to bow to the current Holocaust-Shoah narrative.

Still, that's the delight of a current political system that punishes dissenting voices with prison – and you are now safe –

Cheers

Fredrick

On Thursday, 21 July 2011 6:58 PM, Dr Lindtner responds:

Cc: knud eriksen

Subject: Re: Revolt against Civilization: Knud Eriksen interviews Christian Lindtner

Fredrick, it seems that all participants were very pleased with this seminar. –

The next one will not be on "Denial is Chutzpah", but probably on the Buddhist sources of Christianity.

In his new book "Buddhism's Relation to Christianity" (Chennai 2011), prof. em. of Sanskrit, Michael Lockwood, writes e.g. " The use of this method of "transcreation" from Sanskrit and Pâli into Greek (in the NT) has been firmly established by Christian Lindtner" (p. 250).

And: "What is needed is a paradigmatic shift - a Lindtnerian Revolution - in outlook, which, of course, will entail a change of outlook far more unsettling to traditional Christianity than even Darwin's Theory of Evolution has been!" (op. cit., p. 241)

All these revisions!

Best wishes

Christian L.

On Thursday, 21 July 2011 7:23 PM my brief response: **Subject:** RE: Revolt against Civilization: Knud Eriksen interviews Christian Lindtner

Christian – I now understand why you made that theoretical paradigm shift –

Good luck Fredrick

Dr Lindtner replies on Friday, 22 July 2011 1:40 AM:

Subject: RE: Revolt against Civilization: Knud Eriksen interviews Christian Lindtner - what about Himmler?

Yes, Fredrick, we always have to go where evidence may lead us. Also we must keep an eye for evidence that evidence has been removed or lost - otherwise we may end up making fools of ourselves by becoming deniers of the past.

Or do you say: "No body - no Himmler"?

I look forward to your comments e.g. on Himmler's: "Es ist gut, dass wir die Härte hatten, die Juden in unserem Bereich auszurotten." -----

For evidence of removal of physical evidence of the crimes, e.g. Curilla 2006, pp. 745-769.

Best

Chr.

After sending the below item through the list Dagmar Brenne joins in:

From: Fredrick Toben

Sent: Friday, 22 July 2011 8:14 AM

To: 'Christian Lindtner' **Cc:** 'Dagmar Brenne'

Subject: RE: Revolt against Civilization: Knud Eriksen interviews Christian Lindtner - what about Himmler?

- **1.** Christian, you are beginning to sound foolish when you cite words as evidence of a physical happening that cannot be removed by words written in a book completed under legal duress.
- **2.** Remember, the technical aspect of the operation has never been openly addressed Germar did it at his trial, was jailed and his book immediately banned.
- **3.** I have a gag order from both Germany and Australia where my opinions have been criminalised and thus can only wonder at your re-canting, as also occurred with Peter Myers who was a skeptic but when he received Germar Rudolf's 21-volume set of books became a believer.
- **4.** It's a matter of moral nerve and that's why I understand your recanting of your previously held belief, and your advocacy for a return of Greek ideals as opposed to the Abrahamic value-system and it is also a matter between Jews and Germans, as Dagmar Brenne reminded us.

Fredrick

From: Dagmar Brenne Sent: 07/22/11 01:39 AM

To: Fredrick Toben, 'Christian Lindtner'

Subject: Re: Revolt against Civilization: Knud Eriksen interviews Christian Lindtner - what about Himmler? >>I understand your recanting of your previously held belief, and your advocacy for a return of Greek ideals as opposed to the Abrahamic value-system - and it is also a matter between Jews and Germans, as Dagmar Brenne reminded us.<<

I wish to add that the said Dagmar Brenne is a Christian, who believes in the Abrahamic value System

and the Ten Commandments, which are NOT Jewish/ Talmudic in origin and are certainly not in conflict to any teachings of our German ancestors.

Dagmar Brenne

Dr Lindtner replies on Friday, 22 July 2011 6:37 PM

To: Dagmar Brenne **Cc:** Fredrick Toben

Subject: Re: Revolt against Civilization:

Knud Eriksen interviews Christian Lindtner - what about

Himmler?

Dear Dagmar & Fredrick,

- **1.** Do you count the words of Himmler among the "teachings of our German ancestors"? Or do you simply ignore Himmler's words? Why?
- **2.** Fredrick, you are wrong when you say that "the technical aspect of the operation has never been openly addressed". For a detailed and careful refutation of Leuchter and Rudolf, see "Neue Studien zu nationalsozialistischen Massentötungen durch Giftgas", Berlin 2011, above all Dr Achim Trunk's "Die todbringenden Gase", pp. 23-49.
- **3.** I have no clear idea about the meaning of "the Abrahamic value-system". Do you? Did our ancestors teach us not to face the truth?

Best wishes Christian L.

I reply with the freedom argument:

Sent: Saturday, 23 July 2011 12:02 AM

To: 'Christian Lindtner' **Cc:** 'Dagmar Brenne'

Subject: RE: Revolt against Civilization: Knud Eriksen interviews Christian Lindtner - what about Himmler? Christian –

- 1. Let me stress again, there is no free research into specific historical topics where there is legal sanction awaiting individuals who want to do such research on contentious topics and hence you need to face the truth that truth is not allowed to be spoken in Germany. It's a simple proposition anyone who dares disagree with the official version of events is imprisoned so, there, get used to it. That's Germany for you.
- 2. We are having similar arguments as regards climate change science. I attended a meeting with Lord Monckton this evening and he clearly demonstrated the mechanisms used to silence dissent, that scientists pushing this climate change rely on government grants and thus follow the party line, as was the case in Soviet Union days nothing unusual. I am being muzzled by two legal systems I am not free to explore and research, in the Popper fashion, and to postulate tentative hypotheses, then subject them to a process of falsification...

Cheers

Fredrick

What follows is a slight change in subject matter but relevant to the substantive issue:

From: Fredrick Toben
Sent: 07/22/11 07:04 AM
To: info@adelaideinstitute.org

Subject: Dr Töben's 22 July 2011 Media release: Removing books from libraries - and what Steven Lewis has achieved for his Australian Jewish community

Dr Töben's 22 July 2011 Media Release:

Removing books from libraries – and what Steven Lewis has achieved for his Australian Jewish community – and Magistrate Rosenzweig, sorry, Charlie Rozencwajg, making a decision on the Hinch contempt-of-court case.

- A. Message sent on Friday, 22 July 2011, to Leader of Australia's Opposition Mr Tony Abbott:
- **1.** Please note that Turnbull has declared war on Tony. One of his most telling statements is this one made last night:
- **2.** The discussion is not 'ideology or belief' but it's about 'risk management'.
- **3.** He therefore terminates all discussion and proclaims the dogma: Climate Change is real and let's stop talking about its details.

That's what Napoleon did when he assumed power by proclaiming: **'The Revolution is over'!**

- **4.** Let's hope Turnbull's timing is out and this evening Lord Monckton's meeting in Adelaide can prolong the debate and throw a spanner in Turnbull's works. After all, the Member for Wentworth, dubbed as the member for Goldman Sachs, did not speak well of matters when last July 2010 he addressed the NSW Jewish Board of Deputies.
- **5.** In **July 2010** before the Federal election Turnbull, for the Federal Liberal Party, and Lewis for the Federal Labor Party, addresses the NSW Jewish board of Deputies: Note what solicitor/ barrister Steven Lewis says he has done for the Jewish community, one of his major achievements vie the video clip at 17.40, which is not reported in the written text. It explains why alleged Respondent in the Töben matter was missing throughout the proceedings:

"...to work on the Toben case we're able to jail Toben ... we're about to bankrupt Toben ...'

This explains why the Executive Council of Australian Jewry refused to negotiate with me and settle the matter, which was the stance taken when the legal persecution began in 1996 before HREOC.

It is thus clear that this whole proceeding is politically motivated – and I should therefore not have to bear the costs of this politically motivated action.

http://www.jwire.com.au/news/wentworth-candidates-address-board-of-deputiesplenum/10541

B. The Great Purge of Our Libraries -

http://www.quadrant.org.au/magazine/issue/2011/7-8/the-great-purge-of-our-libraries

C. Transcript of ABC RADIO NATIONAL's delightful and liberating Counterpoint audio program – wait for its posting:

http://www.abc.net.au/rn/counterpoint/stories/2011/3268790 .htm

D. Silence order of the day for Hinch Sydney Morning Herald

Derryn Hinch has been sentenced to five months home detention, and prevented from working, using social media, publishing material or being interviewed. MAGISTRATE Charlie Rozencwajg has succeeded where many others have failed. He has shut up Derryn ...

<u>Home detention for broadcaster Derryn Hinch over naming of sex ...</u>

Dr Lindtner replies:

Sent: Friday, 22 July 2011 5:59 PM

To: Fredrick Toben

Subject: Re: Dr Töben's 22 July 2011 Media release: Removing books from libraries - and what Steven Lewis has achieved for his Australian Jewish community What a mess, Fredrick, reminds me of the ancient Christians who burned down libraries with Greek books! In 1998, 23 Danish theologians et al. also wanted my two Buddhist books of translations from the Sanskrit, Tibetan and Chinese burned!

Cheers

Chr.

My response:

Sent: Saturday, 23 July 2011 12:03 AM

To: 'Christian Lindtner'

Subject: RE: Dr Töben's 22 July 2011 Media release: Removing books from libraries - and what Steven Lewis has achieved for his Australian Jewish community

Thanks - no books should be destroyed -

C. ENTER ONE OF THE HEAVIES OF REVISIONISM:

Jürgen Graf

Moscow 22 July 2011

The moral and intellectual bankruptcy of a scholar: Dr Christian Lindtner and Holocaust Revisionism

When I visited Copenhagen in 1997 and 1998, I had several lengthy discussions with Danish revisionist Dr. Christian Lindtner, a Sanscrit scholar and expert in the history of Buddhism. Lindtner impressed me with his extraordinary knowledge of classical languages, and he seemed to be thoroughly familiar with the revisionist arguments. Therefore I was very glad when I learned in December 2006, during the Holocaust Conference in Tehran, that he had been chosen as a member of the committee for the promotion of revisionist research. (Later this committee turned out to be completely useless, as it did strictly nothing.)

In the meantime, Lindtner has come, or pretends to have come, to the conclusion that Holocaust Revisionism is "a moral and intellectual aberration" and "chutzpah". On 20 July 2011, he stated in an e-mail to Dr Fredrick Toben.

"I hope that you will find the time to study the two huge books about the German Ordnungspolizei by Wolfgang Curilla (2006 & 2011). His admirable research is based on original German sources. It can no longer be denied that more than four million Jews were murdered by various units of German police etc. Hence the time has come to face the fact that Holocaust revisionism, or denial, is simply irresponsible CHUTZPAH".

In a second e-mail to Toben, dated July 21, Lindtner raised the ante:

"I have now studied these [Curilla's] and other books by the best German scholars for several years, and of course I am certain that one can rely on their research. As a classical philologist, I recognize traditional German scholarship when it is at its best. The claim that Leichenkeller 1 in Krema II was not a gas chamber is also a case of chutzpah. As you are aware, Bischoff wrote to Kammler 29 January 1943 that it was a Vergasungskeller, and the two engineers from Topf &Söhne (Karl Schultze and Fritz Sander, 17 February 1943) called it a Gaskeller. [...] The lacking holes can also easily be explained. When Leichenkeller 1 was blown up, the holes, i. e. the edges of the holes, would have been the first to be blown away by the enormous pressure seeking to'escape'. The evidence for gas vans is also convincingly established. It is also chutzpah to

say: "No holes, no holocaust' – as if the shooting of millions of Jews had nothing to do with the Endlösung! So, for these and many other reasons, it is now my firm conviction that Holocaust Revisionism is a moral and intellectual aberration. [...] My claim is serious: Denial is chutzpah. [...] Now we understand why Himmler said to the generals in Sonthofen, 21 June 1944: "Es ist gut, dass wir die Härte hatten, die Juden in unserem Bereich auszurotten.' [It is good that we were tough enough to exterminate the Jews in our sphere of influence.] He goes on to say that the Weiber and Kinder [women and children] were also murdered. [...] Himmler was honest, and we have to be honest as well."

I will now analyze Lindtner's arguments point after point.

1. Wolfgang Curilla and the German Ordnungspolizei

Firstly, I readily acknowledge that I have not read the two books by Wolfgang Curilla. Together with two other revisionists, I plan to write a study on the Einsatzgruppen question; if this project materializes (which is far from certain because it would require considerable funds we are still lacking), we will undoubtedly have to read these books. Now let us have a look at what the website buecher.de says about the first of them, *Die deutsche Ordnungspolizei und der Holocaust im Baltikum und in Weissrussland 1941-1944* (Schöningh, 2006):

"Die Ordnungspolizisten waren für die Deportation oder Ermordung der über zwei Millionen jüdischen Opfer in Sowjetunion mitverantwortlich. Curilla beschreibt den Einsatz der Ordnungspolizei erstmals flächendeckend für das Baltikum und Weissrussland. Als Quellen dienten ihm neben der zeitgenössischen Überlieferung eine Fülle von grossenteils bisher unveröffentlichten Dokumenten und Zeugenaussagen aus weit über 100 Strafverfahren gegen NS-Täter in der Bundesrepublik, in Österreich und der damaligen DDR." (The members of the Ordnungspolizei were jointly responsible for the deportation or murder of the more than two million Jewish victims in the Soviet Union. W. Curilla gives a comprehensive description of the activities of the Ordnungspolizei in the Baltic states and White Russian. In addition to contemporary documents, sources were hitherto largely unpublished documents and eyewitness reports from far more than 100 trials of National Socialist perpetrators in the Federal Republic, Austria and the former German Democratic Republic.)

So Curilla's sources were contemporary documents such as the "Ereignismeldungen" of the Einsatzgruppen, plus post-war trials against "National Socialist perpetrators". This is indeed the kind of evidence the Holocaust historians routinely adduce. Raul Hilberg does the same thing in his three-volume classic *The*

Destruction of the European Jews. We will soon see what these sources are worth.

2. The lack of forensic evidence for the alleged mass murders

Let us assume that the Holocaust historians are right and that the Germans indeed killed more than two million Jews in the Soviet Union. If this were the case, there would be a huge amount of material evidence. When the Germans discovered the bodies of 4,143 Polish officers shot by the Soviets at Katyn, they flew in an international commission, consisting of physicians from no fewer than 12 countries, to inspect the site of the crime and to carry out autopsies. They then published a detailled forensic report about the massacre. Some months later, the Germans did the same thing after finding the bodies of 9,432 Ukrainians murdered by the NKVD at Vinnitsa before the war, inviting no fewer than eight local and six foreign commissions to verify the facts.² The National Socialist propagandists used the grisly discoveries for a very successful anti-Bolshevist campaign.

Now according to the Holocaust historians and the august Sanscrit scholar Dr. Christian Lindtner, the German murdered more than two million Jews in the Soviet Union alone. This figure is about 140 times higher than the combined toll for Katyn and Winnitza. Surely the Soviets followed the German example and flew in international commissions to repay their adversaries for the shame of Katyn and Winnitza with interest and compounded interest? Surely at the Nuremberg trial they showed films about the exhumation of hundreds of thousands of bodies? No, they did not.

This did not mean that the Soviets had not dug up any mass graves containing the bodies of victims of the Germans, or that they had not carried out any autopsies. Such investigations had indeed taken place, however their results were not widely publicized because they belied the phantastic exaggerations of Soviet propaganda. The following three examples will amply suffice to illustrate this point:

In August 1944, three graves containing a total of 305 bodies were detected by the Red Army near the former labour camp Treblinka I, about 2 kilometers from the alleged "extermination camp" Treblinka II.³

1. In January 1945, after the liberation of Auschwitz, the Soviets found a masse grave containing 536 bodes.⁴

¹ Amtliches Material zum Massenmord von Katyn, Berlin 1943.

² Amtliches Material zum Massenmord von Winniza, Berlin 1944.

³ Gosudarstvenny Arkhiv Rossiskoj Federatsii, Moscow, 7021-115-11, p. 13.

⁴ Gosudarstvenny Arkhiv Rossiskoj Federatsii, Moscow, 7021-108-21.

2. On the site of the former concentration camp Salaspils in Latvia the Soviets discovered 564 bodies.⁵ This did not prevent their propagandists from brazenly claiming that no fewer than 101.000 people had been murdered at Salaspils.⁶ Today's Holocaust historians, such as the Latvian Hinrichs Strods and the Germans A. Angrik and P. Klein, put the Salaspils death toll at 2.000-3000.⁷ If the higher of these two figures is correct, the Soviets had exaggerated the number of victims by more than 30 times – just as they did at Auschwitz (4 million⁸ versus the real figure of about 135.500⁹), Majdanek (1,5 million¹⁰ versus the real figure of between 42.200 and 50.000¹¹) and Sachsenhausen (840.000¹² versus the real figure of slightly over 20.000¹³).

In other words, the Soviet forensic investigations only proved that many people (Jews and non-Jews) had indeed died in German captivity, and that the German had indeed carried out executions – something no serious revisionist has ever disputed. They did not produce a shred of evidence for the large-scale massacres claimed by Soviet propagandists, Jewish and German Holocaust historians and Danish Sanscrit scholars.

3. The "Aktion 1005"

According to Holocaust lore, in June 1942 Heinrich Himmler ordered SS-Sturmbannführer Paul Blobel to erase the traces of the massacres in the East. Being his master's obedient servant, Blobel formed a special kommando with the code denomination 1005. This Kommando had to dig up the mass graves and to remove the corpses. Blobel and his men travelled to all occupied territories to fulfill their ghastly task.

The *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust* shows a map with the most important locations where these activities are supposed to have transpired. This is a huge area which extends from north to south across approximately 1,500 kilometers and from west to east across some 1,300 kilometers.¹⁴ On this gigantic territory, Blobel

hundreds of graves and to have removed the bodies of the victims without leaving the slightest documentary or material traces! One really has to be a Holocaust historian or a Sanscrit scholar to believe such a rubbish.

and his team are supposed to have dug up many

One example will suffice to show the overwhelming absurdity of this fairy tale. In late 1941, the Germans are supposed to have shot and buried 27.800 Jews in the outskirts of Riga. Blobel and his Kommando could not possibly have erased the traces of the mass grave, because such graves, whether full or empty, are easily discernible on air photographs owing to the altered configuration of the territory. (This method has been sucessfully applied in Bosnia and other places.) Could Blobel's men at least have removed the corpses? Theoretically yes, but this would have been a very difficult task indeed:

They would have had to remove millions of bones and bone fragments;

They would had to remove $(27,800 \times 30 =) 834,000$ teeth (we assume that each of the hypothetical victims had two teeth lacking);

They would have had to remove $(27,800 \times 2,5 =)$ 69,500 kilograms of body ashes (we assume that the victims had an average weight of 50 kg; the ashes left after cremation correspond to 5% of the body weight) plus a much bigger amount of wood ashes.

Of course, the 27.800 Jews allegedly murdered near Riga represented only 1,3%, or less, of the alleged total of at least two million Jewish victims! Even if the Blobel boys had been supermen, they could never ever have accomplished such a task.

It goes without saying that the splendid German scholars whose works Lindtner has studied so diligently and who, in his distinguished opinion, embody "traditional German scholarship at its best" never give a thought to such irksome facts. Like Lindtner himself, they are "paper historians" (an apt term coined by Robert Faurisson) who are living far from the physical reality of things in their world of documents and books.

It suffices to read Jens Hoffmann's book about the "Action 1005"¹⁵ to realize that the whole tale is exclusively based on "eyewitness evidence", "confessions" and post-war trials where such "eyewitness evidence" and "confessions" formed the sole basis of the accusation.

4. "Eywitness evidence" and "confessions"

The Holocaust scholars will object that in American custody Blobel himself confessed having erased the traces of the massacres. He indeed did so¹⁶, but such

⁵ Gosudarstvenny Arkhiv Rossiskoj Federatsii, Moscow, 7021-93-21, p. 15-18.

⁶ Wikipedia, Russian entry for "Salaspils".

⁷ Wikipedia, German entry for "Salaspils".

⁸ URSS-008.

⁹ Carlo Mattogno, "Franciszek Piper und die Zahl der Opfer von Auschwitz", *Vierteljahreshefte für freie Geschichtsforschung* 1/2003.

¹⁰ IMT, volume VII, p. 590.

Jürgen Graf and Carlo Mattogno, Concentration Camp Majdanek. A historical and technical study, Theses & Dissertation Press, Chicago 2003, chapter 4. www.juergengraf.vho.org/articles/zur-revision-der-opferzahl-vonmajdanek.html.

¹² IMT VII, p. 416, 417, 644.

¹³ Carlo Mattogno "KL Sachsenhausen. Stärkemeldungen und 'Vernichtungsaktionen', Vierteljahreshefte für freie Geschichtsforschung 2/2003.

¹⁴ Israel Gutman (ed.), *Encyclopedia of the Holocaust*, Macmillan, New York 1990, vol. I, entry "Aktion 1005".

¹⁵ Jens Hoffmann, "Das kann man nicht erzählen". Wie die Nazis die Spuren ihrer Massenmorde im Osten beseitigten, Konkret Verlag, Hamburg 2008.

¹⁶ NO 3842. NO-3947.

confessions were not worth the paper they were written upon. As an American commission reported in 1949, confessions had frequently been extorted by barbarous torture.¹⁷ A well-known case is Rudolf Höss, who after three days of merciless beating by a British torture team lead by the Jew Bernard Clark confessed to having gassed 2,5 million Jews up to November 1943.¹⁸ Not all defendants made their declarations under duress; there were more refined methods as well. Erich von dem Bach-Zelewski, former SS-Obergruppenführer and Höherer SS- und Polizeiführer Russland-Mitte, stated at the Nuremberg trial that in early 1941, Heinrich Himmler had said at the Wewelsburg that the purpose of the coming campaign in Russia would be the reduction of the Slavic population by 30 million. 19 Now let us take a look at von dem Bach-Zelewski's fate.²⁰ According to the official "holocaust" story, he was one of the worst criminals. He is said to have ordered the aforementioned murder of 27,800 Jews near Riga and the massacre of tens of thousands of Soviet civilians. Under these circumstances, one would assume that he was certainly put on trial and sentenced to hang after the war, but precisely this did not happen. In Nuremberg he was used as a witness for the prosecution and then released. Obviously this lenient treatment was the reward for having made statements as the one quoted above, which allowed the allies to accuse the Germans of having planned not only the total extermination of the Jews, but also a horrific genocide of tens of millions of Slavs. It is true that von dem Bach-Zelewski was later tried by the West German justice, but not for his alleged role in the "holocaust" or the slaughter of Soviet citizens. He was tried for two murders he - really or allegedly - had committed in 1934.

Even more important than the allied post-war trials were the court proceedings against "Nazi war criminals" in the Federal Republic of Germany. As we have seen, Curilla bases his accusations against Ordnungspolizei primarily on the documentation of these trials. As a matter of fact, it is hardly an exaggeration to say that most of the "evidence" for the holocaust was fabricated by the West German justice. To prove this, we only have to point to the fact that in his chapter about the "killing centers", Raul Hilberg's source most important is Adalbert Rückerl's Nationalsozialistische Vernichtungslager im Spiegel Strafprozesse (National extermination camps in the mirror of German court proceedings); Hilberg quotes this book, which exclusively relies on eyewitness evidence and confessions, no fewer than 41 times.²¹

It is obvious that torture was not used in West Germany. The courts of the puppet state basically pursued the same strategy as the Allied had done in the case of von dem Bach-Zelewski. Those defendants who admitted the alleged mass murders were often meted out a lenient treatment, while those who "stubbornly denied" the crime could not hope for mercy. At the Sobibor trial in Hagen (1965/1966) four defendants "convicted" of aiding and abetting with others the murder of between 15,000 and 79,000 persons got surprisingly mild sentences of three and four years imprisonment respectively.²² The defendants Schütt and Unverhau, who had been "convicted" of aiding and abetting with others the murder of 86,000 72,000 persons respectively, were even acquitted.²³ This proves that these trials were primarily seen as an instrument to establish the judicial notoriety of the alleged mass murders.

It is true that some defendants were sentenced to life imprisonment (after all, the Jews wanted their pound of flesh!), but if they feigned repentance, most of them could hope for pardon. Karl Frenzel, who at the Hagen trial had got a life term for aiding and abetting with others the murder of at least 150,000 persons and for the murder of nine persons, was released on appeal in 1981.²⁴ Although his life sentence was later confirmed, Frenzel did not have to return to prison, the reason almost certainly being that in 1984 he had admitted the alleged mass murders at Sobibor in a conversation with former Sobibor detainee Toivi Blatt.²⁵

As we see, it was quite easy for the courts of "democratic" West Germany to obtain the desired confessions. For the communist regime of East Germany, this was certainly not difficult either. So much for the "scientific basis" of the "German scholarship" Dr. Lindtner so fervently admires!

5. The Einsatzgruppen Reports

As proof for the alleged huge slaughter in the occupied Eastern territories, first and foremost are cited the socalled "Ereignismeldungen" (event reports) of the four Einsatzgruppen. These documents fall in the period from June 1941 to May 1942 and mention numerous massacres, with victims occasionally numbering in five digit figures. The "Ereignismeldungen" were supposedly

 $^{^{17}}$ E. van Roden, "American Atrocities in Germany", *The* Progressive, February 1949.

¹⁸ Rupert Butler, Legions of Death, London 1983, p. 235 f.. Robert Faurisson, "How the British obtained the confessions of Rudolf Höss", Journal of Historical Review, Vol. 7, no. 4 (Winter 1986/1987).

19 IMT, Volume IV, p. 535/536.

²⁰ http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Erich_von_dem_Bach-Zelewski

²¹ Jürgen Graf, The Giant with Feet of Clay. Raul Hilberg and his standard work about the Holocaust, Theses & Dissertation Press, Capsha 2001, chapter VII, 1.

²² Jürgen Graf, Thomas Kues, Carlo Mattogno, Sobibor. Holocaust Propaganda versus Reality, The Barnes Revue, Washington 2010, p. 183.

²³ Idem, p. 185.

²⁴ Idem, p. 397.

²⁵ Stern, No. 13, 22 March 1984.

found by the Allies in the Berlin RSHA. That the Germans let this sort of incriminating material fall into the hands of their enemies is strikingly odd.

The alleged slaughter of 33,711 Ukrainian Jews at Babi Yar near Kiev is the most notorious massacre ascribed to the Germans on the Eastern Front. This figure appears in an Einsatzgruppen report from 7 October 1941.²⁶ According to the established version of the facts, these 33,711 Jews were shot and their bodies thrown into the ravine of Babij Yar on 29 September 1941. But the first witnesses told completely different stories: The massacre was perpetrated on a graveyard, or near a graveyard, or in a forest, or in the very city of Kiev, or on the shores of the Dnepr. As to the murder weapon, the early witnesses spoke of rifles, or machine guns, or submachine guns, or hand grenades, or bayonets, or knives; some witnesses claimed that the victims had been put to death via lethal injections whereas others asserted that they had been drowned in the Dnepr, or buried alive, or killed by means of electric current, or squashed by tanks, or driven into minefields, or that their skulls had been crushed with rocks, or that they had been murdered in gas vans.²⁷ Now that is what we call good, solid evidence, is it not, Dr. Lindtner?

When the Red Army approached Kiev, the Germans allegedly dug up the mass graves and burnt the bodies. This work was reportedly finished on 28 September. But two days before, on 26 September, Babi Yar was photgraphed by a German reconnaissance aircraft. The air photo shows no fires, no open graves and no traces of human activity. After the Soviets had reconquered Kiev, a commission inspected Babi Yar and made a couple of photographs. The only discernible things on them are a pair of old shoes and some rags. Irrefutable proof for the murder of 33,711 Jews, is it not, Dr. Lindtner?

So the report from 7 October 1941, which mentions an imaginary slaughter, is a fraud. This means that all other Einsatzgruppen reports are equally suspect from the beginning.

6. Documentary evidence that there was no extermination policy in the East either

Had the Germans planned the physical extermination of the Jewish population, they would of course have killed children and old people first; able-bodied adults would perhaps have been temporarily spared, because they could have been used as slave-laborers. As a matter of fact, solid documentary evidence shows that Jewish children and old people were not exterminated. The following four examples will illustrate this:

- On 5 June 1942 there were about 9,000 Jews living in the ghetto of Brest (White Russia). Among them there were 932 old people over 65 (the oldest one was 92) and more than 500 children under $16.^{30}$
- In an unknown month of the year 1943, 225 children under the age of 16, plus some old people of up to 86 years of age, were living in the ghetto of Minsk (White Russia).³¹
- At the end of May 1942 there were many old people living in the ghetto of Vilnius (Lithuania); the oldest one, a woman by the name of Chana Stamleriene, had been born in 1852. There were also 3,693 children under 16.³² The angel of death was not hovering over these Jewish children: As we learn from an "Anthology of holocaust literature," more than 20 schools were founded in the first year of the existence of the ghetto. In October 1942 between 1,500 and 1,800 children were studying at these schools, and in April 1943 school attendance became compulsory.³³
- In the summer and autumn of 1944 many Jews of various nationalities (also Hungarian Jews who had been previously deported to Lithuania and Latvia to work for the German army) were transferred from Riga and Kaunas to the Stutthof concentration camp, east of Danzig. On 26 July 1944 1,983 Jews, most of them Lithuanian ones, arrived at Stutthof. 850 of them were under 15 years old³⁴ which means that the oldest ones had been 12 when the Germans conquered Lithuania in the summer of 1941.

All this proves that the shootings committed by the Einsatzgruppen, the Ordnungspolizei and the SS in no way possessed the scope ascribed to them by the court historians.

7. The "Vergasungskeller" Letter

Basically it is quite possible to reject the "western half" of the Holocaust story ("Shoa by gas") and to accept the "eastern half" ("Shoa by bullets"). This is precisely what a clever person wishing to save at least a part of the myth would do: While the revisionists have pointed out numerous impossibilities in the gassing story, there is nothing technically impossible about a mass shooting. But for the Jews this kind of semi-revisionism

²⁷ Herbert Tiedemann, "Babi Yar: Critical Questions and Comments," in: Germar Rudolf (ed.), *Dissecting the Holocaust*, Theses & Dissertations Press, Chicago 2003, pp. 501-528.

²⁶ R-102.

²⁸ John Ball, *Air Photo Evidence*, Delta (B. C.) 1992, p. 107, see also J.C. Ball, "Air Photo Evidence," in. G. Rudolf (ed.), ibid., pp. 269-282, here p. 273f.

²⁹ Gosudarstvenny Arkhiv Rossiskoj Federatsii, Moscow, 128-132 (photo album).

³⁰ Raisa Tschernoglasova, *Трагедия евреев Белоруси в 1941-1944 годах*; Minsk 1997, p. 274 f.

³¹ Judenfrei! Свободно от евреев, Minsk 1999, p. 289 f.

³² Vilnius Ghetto. List of prisoners, Volume 1, Lietuvos valstybinis muziejus, Vilnius 1996.

J. Glatstein, I. Knox, S. Marghoses (ed)., Anthology of Holocaust Literature, Atheneum, New York 1968, p. 90 f.
 Archiwum Muzeum Stutthof, I-IIC-3.

is totally inacceptable because the gas chambers are an absolutely central element of the legend. For this very reason Lindtner, who fully identifies with the Jewish version of the events and even uses Jewish newspeak (he calls revisionism "denial"!), defends not only the myth of the "millions of Jews shot in the East", but the Auschwitz gas chamber myth as well. This is an unspeakably foolish thing to do, because together with Majdanek, Auschwitz is the most untenable part of the lie, its Achilles heel if there ever was one. In order to demonstrate the historical reality of the alleged gassings, Lindtner quotes a well-know document, the "Vergasungskeller" letter. On 29 January 1943 the chief of the Central Construction Office of Auschwitz, Karl Bischoff, stated in a letter to SS-Brigadeführer Hans Kammler:

"Das Krematorium II wurde unter Einsatz aller verfügbaren Kräfte trotz unsagbarer Schwierigkeiten und Frostwetter bei Tag- und Nachtbetrieb bis auf bauliche Kleinigkeiten fertiggestellt. Die Öfen wurden im Beisein des Herrn Oberingenieur Prüfer der ausführenden Firma, Firma Topf u. Söhne, Erfurt, angefeuert und funktionieren tadellos. Eisenbetondecke des Leichenkellers konnte infolge Frosteinwirkung noch nicht ausgeschalt werden. Dies ist jedoch unbedeutend, da der Vergasungskeller hierfür benützt werden kann."35 (Crematorium II has been completed, by using all available manpower, in spite of extreme difficulties and severe frost and by running day and night shifts. The ovens were fired up in the presence of senior engineer Prüfer of the contracting firm, Messr. Topf & Söhne, and function perfectly. The planking of the reinforced concrete ceiling of the corpse cellar could not yet be stripped because of the effect of the frost. This is, however, of no importance, because the gassing cellar can be used for this intent.)

For the Holocaust historians, this letter proves that Leichenkeller 1 of Krematorium II in Birkenau was used as a homicidal gas chamber.

This thesis was severely criticized by Jean-Claude Pressac; in *Auschwitz: Technique and Operation of the Gas Chambe*rs he wrote:

"The affirmation, solely based on the letter of 29 January 1942, that the term 'Vergasungskeller' referred to a homicidal gas chamber installed in the Leichenkeller 1 (corpse cellar) of Krematorium II, was irresponsible, for even if 'gas chamber' were correct, there was no evidence that it was a 'homicidal' one."

 35 Archiwum Państwowego Muzeum w Oświęcimiu, BW 30/34. p. 100.

So even Pressac concedes that this letter does not prove the existence of a homicidal gas chamber in Krematorium II. Carlo Mattogno explains the letter in the context of the epidemic of spotted fever which was the main cause of the frighteningly high mortality in Auschwitz. Quoting numerous documents, Mattogno argues that the SS planned to install a provisional Zyklon B delousing chamber in the Leichenkeller 1 of Krematorium II.³⁷ This project never materialized.

8. The Missing Holes

A key argument against the alleged homicidal gassings in the corpse cellar of Krematorium II is the fact that the four round holes in the ceiling, through which the Zyklon B was reportedly introduced into the "gas chamber", do not exist. To this argument, Lindtner objects:

"The lacking holes can also easily be explained. When Leichenkeller 1 was blown up, the holes, i. e. the edges of the holes, would have been the first to be blown away by the enormous pressure seeking to'escape'."

This is ridiculous. The roof of Leichenkeller 1 survived the demolition of the crematory relatively well; the two irregularly shaped holes, one of which was not even cleared from the steel reinforcement rods, which were simply bent backwards, were not "blown away" at all. So how could four big round holes in the very same ceiling simply disappear?

9. The Gas Vans

Lindtner has the audacity to claim:

"The evidence for gas vans is also convincingly established."

The problem is that no such van has ever been found. Nobody has ever seen a blueprint, or a photograph, of these mythical vehicles.³⁸ The Holocaust historians regularly refer to two documents allegedly proving the use of homicidal gas vans, the "Just document"³⁹ and the Becker document"⁴⁰, but as French revisionist Pierre Marais has irrefutably demonstrated in his vitally important study about the subject⁴¹, these documents are grotesque forgeries. But perhaps Dr. Lindtner has not found the time to read this book because he was too busy studying the "German scholars"!

10. Himmler's alleged speech to his generals in Sonthofen on 21 June 1944

p. 100.

36 Jean-Claude Pressac, *Auschwitz: Technique and Operation of the Gas Chambers*, The Beate Klarsfeld Foundation, New York 1989, p. 142, quoted according to Carlo Mattogno, *Le camere a gas di Auschwitz*, effepi, Genova 2010, p. 47. Retranslation from the Italian.

³⁷ C. Mattogno, *Le camere a gas di Auschwitz*, op. cit., p. 47 f. ³⁸ An alleged photograph of a gas van can be found in Gerald Fleming's book *Hitler und die Endlösung* (Limes Verlag, Wiesbaden and Munich 1982) But in 1945 a Polish commission, which inspected this van, had come to the conclusion that it had only be used to transport furnitue. Carlo Mattogno, *Il campo di Chelmno fra storia e propaganda*, effepi, Genova 2009, p. 49-51.

³⁹ Bundesarchiv Koblenz, 58/871.

⁴⁰ PS-501.

⁴¹ Pierre Marais, *Les camions à gaz en question*, Polémiques, Paris 1994.

On 21 June 1944, Heinrich Himmler reportedly told his generals in Sonthofen that the SS had done well to exterminate the Jews, including the women and the children. For Lindtner, this alleged speech corroborates the exterminationist position.

As a matter of fact, the European Jews had not been exterminated. In France, 75% of the Jewish population, and 90% of the Jews which French passports, were not deported at all.⁴² In most other countries under German control, the percentage of deportees was considerably higher, but countless documents prove that, while large numbers of Jewish concentration camps inmates died as a result of the conditions in the camps, there was no extermination policy. On 27 July 1944 the administration of Auschwitz compiled a statistics about the prisoners "temporarily quartered in the camp of the Hungarian Jews." The document shows that until that date 3,138 Hungarian Jews had received medical treatment at the camp hospital. 1,426 of them had undergone surgical operations.⁴³ (According to the Holocaust story, a huge number of Hungarian Jews were gassed at Auschwitz between 15 May and 9 July 1944. While not a single of these alleged gas chamber murders is confirmed by a German document, the medical treatment of 3,138 Hungarian Jews at Auschwitz until 27 July is indeed documented.) As Polish historian Henry Świebocki reports, no fewer than 11,246 prisoners underwent surgery at Auschwitz between 10 September 1942 and 23 February 1944.44 A very strange "extermination camp" indeed, is it not, Dr. Lindtner?

In its English language edition, the Israeli newspaper *Ha'aretz* reported on 18 April 2004 that there were still 687.000 "Holocaust survivors" around – which means that there must have been several millions in 1945. How does this fact square with an extermination policy, Dr. Lindtner?

11. The moral and intellectual bankruptcy of a scholar

The arguments adduced here are not new. All of them can be found in revisionist books and journals Lindtner cannot possibly pretend not to know. These books and journals are available in English and German, two languages Lindtner reads as fluently as his Danish mother tongue. But in order to justify his about-face, Lindtner prefers to ignore this literature and to rely on the works of dogmatic and bigotted court historians who, in their burning hatred of the National Socialist system, violate every principle of scientific

⁴² Serge Klarsfeld, *Le mémorial de la déportation des juifs de France*, The Beate Klarsfeld Foundation, Paris 1978.

⁴³ Gosudarstevenny Arkhiv Rossiskoj Federatsii, Moscow, 7021-108-32, p. 76.

historiography and gladly endorse any rubbish as long as it incriminates Adolf Hitler and the evil Nazis.

In the past I felt respect for Christian Lindtner, in spite of the fact that I by no means shared his views about the origins of Christianity. Now I feel nothing but contempt for him.

A Footnote of sorts:

From: mineman 2@yahoo.com

Sent: Wednesday, 27 July 2011 1:18 PM

To: Fredrick Toben

Subject: Re: Jürgen Graf 22 July 2011 The moral and intellectual bankruptcy of a scholar: Dr Christian Lindtner and Holocaust Revisionism

Number 2:

1. In January 1945, after the liberation of Auschwitz, the Soviets found a masse grave containing 536 bodes. ANSWER:

See: <u>www.air-photo.com</u> Auschwitz Birkenau Cremations + 5 graves

Five graves dug by the Soviets after January 28th but before February 19th, 1945 almost certainly to bury those who had died in the camps before the Soviets entered on January 27th, and between then and February 19th, of diseases like typhoid, gastrol-intestinal diseases, and of old age.

The number that were buried is unknown, but it appears to be maybe 50, or 10 in each grave. Ground photos exist which show the Soviets standing around the open graves before they were covered with Birkenau in the background.

These are the ONLY mass-graves seen in any Auschwitz or Birkenau air photos from April, 2044 to March, 1945. If Soviet-discovered mass graves did exist they should be visible, which they are not.

Bodies in these graves should be exhumed to determine the causes of death and medical conditions.

In August 1944, three graves containing a total of 305 bodies were detected by the Red Army near the former labour camp Treblinka I, about 2 kilometers from the alleged "extermination camp" Treblinka II.[3]

ANSWER:

The only graves seen in the Treblinka air photos are south of the Convict Labour camp.

See: <u>www.air-photo.com</u> Treblinka Treb area #10 on the map

These are graves south of the Convict Labour camp just outside a forest of trees where it appears that single graves have been dug. I have these close-up air photos and can send them to anyone interested.

Signed: mineman_2@yahoo.com

Thus endeth the current saga of Teheran Holocaust Committee Member Christian Lindtner's claim:

'Holocaust denial is a moral and intellectual aberration. ... Denial is Chutzpah'.

Now some news from the past:

•

Henry Świebocki, "Widerstand", in: Auschwitz. Studien zur Geschichte des Konzentrations- und Vernichtungslagers, vol. IV, Oświęcim 1999, p. 330.

Norway activist 'was Mossad spy' Friday, 7 October 2005

A leading Norwegian pro-Palestinian activist has said she once worked for the Israeli intelligence agency Mossad as a double agent.

Karin Linstad, member of the Norwegian Palestine Committee, is said to have provided details of Palestinians in Beirut before Israel's 1982 invasion.

Mrs Linstad gave no further information to the media, but said she was always loyal to the Palestinians.

The information emerged as part of publicity surrounding a book launch.

The book, War and Diplomacy, by Odd Karsten Tveit, is said to identify Mrs Linstad as a former Mossad agent. Norway has long played a role in trying to achieve peace in the Middle East.

Mrs Linstad's husband Trond Ali Linstad, who converted to Islam in the 1980s, told the Associated Press he had been unaware of his wife's activities but had "complete faith that her evaluations were right".

http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/europe/4318656.stm

Norway government-run pension fund drops Africa Israel group shares Oslo says EUR450bn oil fund has excluded two Israeli firms for ethical reasons.

By Reuters and Shuki Sadeh, 24 August 2010

Norway's 450 billion euro oil-riches fund has excluded two Israeli firms involved in developing settlements, as well as a Malaysian forestry firm, on ethical grounds, Norway's finance ministry said on Monday. The excluded companies are Africa Israel Investments and its engineering subsidiary Danya Cebus, both of which are controlled by energy and real estate magnate Lev Leviev. The Malaysian firm is Samling Global.

The central bank-managed fund follows ethical guidelines set by the government and does not invest in companies that produce nuclear weapons or cluster munitions, damage the environment or abuse workers' rights. Nor will it invest in companies that build in the settlements, it appears.

Africa Israel controls Danya Cebus, "a construction company involved in developing settlements in occupied Palestinian territory," the fund said in a statement.

Danya Cebus has carried out construction projects, as a hired contractor, in Har Homa, a Jewish neighborhood in east Jerusalem, and in the settlements Ma'aleh Adumim, Modi'in Ilit (shown in the picture) and Adam.

The vast fund, which invests the Nordic nation's oil and gas wealth in foreign stocks and bonds to save for future generations, holds more than 1% of all global stocks. It owned shares worth 7.2 million Norwegian crowns (€1.16 million) in Africa Israel Investments at year-end 2009.

"The Council on Ethics emphasizes that the construction of settlements in occupied areas is a violation of the Geneva Convention relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War," it said.

"Several United Nations Security Council resolutions and an International Court of Justice advisory opinion have concluded that the construction of Israeli settlements in occupied Palestinian territory is prohibited under this Convention," Finance Minister Sigbjoern Johnsen said in the statement.

The ministry said it had excluded forestry company Samling Global based on the environmental impact of its forest operations in Malaysia and Guyana. The fund owned shares worth 8.1 million crowns in Samling Global at year end 2009.

"The Council on Ethics has assessed Samling Global, and concluded that the company's forest operations in the rainforests of Sarawak and Guyana contribute to illegal logging and severe environmental damage," Johnsen said.

Africa Israel stated that it and its subsidiaries have not been involved for a long time in real estate development or housing construction in the towns of the West Bank. Therefore, the company said, the claims made by the fund are groundless.

This is not the first time Africa Israel has taken arrows for building in the territories. A year and a half ago, following pressure by pro-Palestinian groups, the British embassy in Israel eschewed moving to a building owned by Africa Israel in Tel Aviv, because of its activity in the territories.

A year ago this same Norwegian oil fund dropped its investment in Elbit Systems, a defense electronics company, because of its involvement in building the separation fence, which the fund said violated human rights.

http://www.haaretz.com/printedition/business/norway-government-run-pension-fund-drops-africaisrael-group-shares-1.309874

Israel: Norway inciting against us
Itamar Eichner, Israel News, 15 November 2010
Foreign Ministry says Norwegian authorities funding
anti-Israel film, exhibition, and play.

Norway: We support freedom of expression.

Serious diplomatic conflict: Israel is accusing the Norwegian government of funding and encouraging blatant anti-Israel incitement.

According to reports received by the Foreign Ministry in Jerusalem, the Trondheim Municipality is funding a trip to New York for students taking part in the "Gaza Monologues" play, which "deals with the suffering of children in Gaza as a result of the Israeli occupation."

The play, written by a Palestinian from Gaza, will be presented at the United Nations headquarters. It joins an exhibition by a Norwegian artists displayed in Damascus, Beirut, and Amman, with the help of Norway's embassies in Syria, Lebanon, and Jordan.

The exhibition shows killed Palestinian babies next to Israel Defense Forces helmets, which are reminiscent of Nazi soldiers' helmets, and an Israeli flag drenched in blood.

The Norwegians are also helping the distribution of a documentary film called "Tears of Gaza" to festivals across the world. According to the Foreign Ministry, the film deals with the suffering of Gaza's children as well, without mentioning Hamas, the rockets fired at Israel, and Israel's right to defend itself.

The film shows Gazans chanting, "Itbah al-Yahud," but the Norwegian translation says "slaughter the Israelis" instead of "slaughter the Jews".

In addition, a book written by two Norwegian doctors who were the only foreigners in Gaza to give interviews during Operation Cast Lead was published recently. The book, which accuses IDF soldiers of deliberately killing women and children, is a bestseller in Norway and has been warmly recommended by none other than Norwegian Foreign Minister Jonas Gahr Støre.

http://www.ynetnews.com/articles/0,7340,L3984621,00.html
